

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,890	07/08/2003	Gregory D. Ries	RIES-001	9853	
7590 02/11/2004			EXAMINER		
Michael S. Neustel Suite No. 4 2534 South University Drive Fargo, ND 58103			SHAW, ELIZABETH ANNE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					·			
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/61	5,890	RIES ET AL.				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			eth A. Shaw	3644				
The l Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communy	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If the period fo - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any repty rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN time may be available under the provision ONTHS from the mailing date of this come r reply specified above is less than thirty (ir reply is specified above, the maximum is y within the set or extended period for repliated by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	to event, however, may a reply be ting a statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>08 July 200</i> 3	3.					
· —	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) Of 5)∭ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is/s(s) is/are allowed. (s) <u>1-7 and 10</u> is/are rejected (s) <u>8,9 and 11-20</u> is/are object (s) are subject to restricts	are withdrawn from sted to.						
Application Pa	pers							
9)∏ The sp	pecification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10) □ The dr	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applic	ant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	cement drawing sheet(s) including	•	•	•				
11) The oa	ath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	wledgment is made of a clain b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation attached detailed Office active.	y documents have y documents have s of the priority doc onal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applica uments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)			. 🗖					
1) Notice of Ref	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948\	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Information D	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Mail Date <u>7/8/03</u> .		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/615,890

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loiselle (PTO-1449-AI 5,636,591). Loiselle shows a suet bird feeder system 10 comprising a housing unit 12 with a plurality of openings 22 having a rear wall 38 and spiked support members 46 extending from the straight side walls 36 such that a suet plug 14 fits within the opening 22. Combined the supports 46 extend at least 25% the length of the opening 22. Loiselle does not show the support member extending from the rear wall. With respect to claim 1, to use the support member from the rear wall would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as a replacement of functional equivalents where the suet plug would be supported as well from the rear support as from a side support.

Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loiselle in view of Hyde (PTO-1449-AB 2,306,312). Loiselle does not show tapered sidewalls. Hyde shows a bird feeding device 12 having a housing with openings 24. The openings 24 having common rear walls (unnumbered) and tapered sidewalls such that the suet or bird food plugs inserted within the openings 24 have taped sidewalls to fit. With respect to claim 2, to use the tapered sidewalls of Hyde with the feeder of

Application/Control Number: 10/615,890

Art Unit: 3644

Loiselle would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as a replacement of functional equivalents.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loiselle in view of Beyea (2,833,247). Loiselle does not show the support member extending concentrically. Beyea shows an animal feeder for holding a block of animal food 3 with a rear wall28 and a support member 32 extending concentrically from the rear wall 28. With respect to claim 3, to use the concentric support of Beyea with the feeder of Loiselle would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to provide a larger support to hold the food once the animal begins feeding from it and moving the food in the opening.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 9 and 11-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Included for further reference on bird feeders are: Webster (2,801,609), St. Hilaire (3,175,536), Baensch (3,664,303) and Hume (D 194,610).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Shaw whose telephone number is 703-308-1853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,890

Art Unit: 3644

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Elizabeth A. Shaw

Examiner Art Unit 3644

February 9, 2004